

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                          | FILING DATE   | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |  |
|--|---------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|--|
| 10/765,262                               | 01/27/2004    | Stuart R. Melton     | HE0217              | 9580             |  |  |
| 21495 75                                 | 90 07/13/2005 |                      | EXAM                | EXAMINER         |  |  |
| CORNING CABLE SYSTEMS LLC<br>P O BOX 489 |               |                      | WONG, ERIC K        |                  |  |  |
| HICKORY, NO                              | C 28603       |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |  |  |
| ,  |               |                      | 2883                |                  |  |  |

DATE MAILED: 07/13/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| •  |  | $\mathcal{O}$   |   |  |  |  |
|--|--|---|---|--|--|--|
|  | Application No.  | Applicant(s)  | _ |  |  |  |
| ·  | 10/765,262   | MELTON ET AL.   |   |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary  | Examiner   | Art Unit  |   |  |  |  |
|  | Eric Wong  | 2883  |   |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app   | l  |   | _ |  |  |  |
| Period for Reply   |  |   |   |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be<br>within the statutory minimum of thirty (30)<br>will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fr<br>cause the application to become ABANDO | e timely filed<br>days will be considered timely.<br>om the mailing date of this communication.<br>NED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |   |  |  |  |
| Status   |  | •   |   |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 Ja   | 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>27 January 2004</u> .  |   |   |  |  |  |
| 2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This   | 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.  |   |   |  |  |  |
| ,—   | 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is   |   |   |  |  |  |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E   | x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,  | 453 O.G. 213.°  |   |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims  |  |   |   |  |  |  |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-49</u> is/are pending in the application.  |  |   |   |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw  | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.   |   |   |  |  |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  | Claim(s) is/are allowed.   |   |   |  |  |  |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-49</u> is/are rejected.  | Claim(s) <u>1-49</u> is/are rejected.  |   |   |  |  |  |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  |  |   |   |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o   | r election requirement.  | ,   |   |  |  |  |
| Application Papers   |  |   |   |  |  |  |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine  | r.   |   |   |  |  |  |
| 10) $igtimes$ The drawing(s) filed on 27 January 2004 is/are:  | a)⊠ accepted or b)□ object   | ed to by the Examiner.  |   |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the  | <b>-</b> , ,   |   |   |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct   |  |   |   |  |  |  |
| 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex  | taminer. Note the attached Off   | ice Action or form PTO-152.   |   |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |  |   |   |  |  |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori   | s have been received.<br>s have been received in Applic  | eation No   |   |  |  |  |
| application from the International Bureau  | • • • •  |   |   |  |  |  |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list   | of the certified copies not rece   | ived.   |   |  |  |  |
|  |  |   |   |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  |  | •   |   |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  | 4) Interview Summ  | ary (PTO-413)   |   |  |  |  |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date   | Paper No(s)/Mai  |   |   |  |  |  |
|  | <u></u>  |   | _ |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/765,262 Page 2

Art Unit: 2883

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by United States Patent Number 6,188,822 to McAlpine et al.

McAlpine discloses an outdoor cable comprising:

- A messenger section (12) comprising at least one strength component and a
  jacket surrounding the at least one strength component;
- A carrier section (14), the carrier section comprising a jacket and a tube, at least one optical waveguide disposed within the tube, the optical waveguide being at least partially disposed along a path and having an excess fiber length greater than about 0.0% to about 3.2%;
- A web connecting the respective jackets of the messenger and carrier sections
   (18); and
- It would be inherent that such a fiber communications cable would be connectorized.

Application/Control Number: 10/765,262 Page 3

Art Unit: 2883

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 2-15, 17-30, 32-47 and 49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over United States Patent Number 6,188,822 to McAlpine et al. as allpied to claim 1 above, and in view of United States Patent Number 6,234,683 to Waldron et al.

McAlpine discloses an outdoor cable comprising:

- A messenger section (12) comprising at least one strength component and a jacket surrounding the at least one strength component;
- A carrier section (14), the carrier section comprising a jacket and a tube, at least one optical waveguide disposed within the tube, the optical waveguide being at least partially disposed along a path and having an excess fiber length greater than about 0.0% to about 3.2%;
- A web connecting the respective jackets of the messenger and carrier sections (18), and
- Thermally activated coating;
- It would be inherent that such a fiber communications cable would be connectorized.

Art Unit: 2883

However, McAlpine fails to explicitly disclose a plug connector comprising a crimp housing comprising two half shells with a longitudinal passageway, further including a connector housing and ferrule.

Waldron et al. discloses an optical plug connector cable comprising a crimp housing with two half shells (82, 86), a cap (150), a rib (interior of 86), a coupling nut (100), o-ring (90), a ferrule, alignment notches, and a longitudinal passageway.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to connectorize the cable of McAlpine with the connector of Waldron et al. in order to improve the connection of optical transmission components and further to improve the mounting of fibers to reduce optical transmission loss.

5. Claim 16, 31, and 48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McAlphine et al. in view of Waldron et al. as applied to claims above.

McAlphine et al. in view of Waldron et al. discloses an optical cable plug connector as claimed, but fails to explicitly disclose two plug connectors.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use two connectors instead of one, since it has been held that mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art. St. Regis Paper Co. v. Bemis Co., 193 USPQ 8.

## Inventorship

6. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any

Application/Control Number: 10/765,262 Page 5

Art Unit: 2883

evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

# Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statements (IDS) submitted on 01/05, 03/05, 04/05 and 06/05 have been considered by the examiner and made of record (note the attached copy of form PTO-1449).

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eric Wong whose telephone number is 571-272-2363. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 830AM - 430PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Frank Font can be reached on 571-272-2415. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 2800